UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,557	10/03/2005	Christos Tsaklakidis	MERCK-2723	3824
23599 7590 07/01/2010 MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			LOEWE, SUN JAE Y	
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docketing@mwzb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,557	TSAKLAKIDIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUN JAE Y. LOEWE	1626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	TION.	
 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ute, cause the application to become ABAN	DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,23,26,29,30,32,40-60 and 70</u> is/ar 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,23,26,29,30,32,40-60 and 70</u> is/ar 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct at 1). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by se drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in App iority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-092) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/551,557 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendments to the claims filed on June 14, 2010 have been fully considered. The 35 USC 112 1st paragraph rejection is maintained for claim 41.
- 2. A new ground of rejection is set forth herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 23, 26,29, 30, 32, 40, 42-60 and 70 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for preparing compounds of Formula I or pharmaceutically usable salts thereof, does not reasonably provide enablement for preparation of stereoisomers.

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, have been described. They are:

- 1. The nature of the invention,
- 2. The state of the prior art,
- 3. The predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. The amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. The presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. The breadth of the claims,
- 7. The quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. The level of the skill in the art.

Art Unit: 1626

The nature of the invention

The nature of the invention is compounds of Formula I and stereoisomers thereof.

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

The state of the prior art is that a stereoisomer includes within the broadest reasonable interpretation any isomer. Constitutional isomers are compounds having the same composition whose atoms are connected differently. Constitutional isomers can contain different functional groups in varying positions.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples

The only direction or guidance present in the instant specification is for the compounds of Formual I.

There is no data present in the instant specification for the preparation of any type of isomer, such as constitutional isomers.

The breadth of the claims

The instant breadth of the rejected claims is broader than the disclosure, specifically, the instant claims include any isomer, i.e. any compound with the same number of each atom.

The quantity or experimentation needed and the level of skill in the art

While the level of the skill in the pharmaceutical arts is high, it would require undue experimentation of one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare any isomer as instantly claimed since an isomer of the compounds need only have the same composition of atoms, not necessarily the same order of atoms and can have varying functional groups in varying positions. Therefore, the claims lack enablement for isomers.

This rejection can be overcome by deleting all instances of "stereoisomer".

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SUN JAE Y. LOEWE whose telephone number is (571)272-9074. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 Est.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,557 Page 4

Art Unit: 1626

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph McKane can be reached on (571)272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sun Jae Y. Loewe/ 6-28-2010